

# MATH 303 – Measures and Integration

## Homework 11

Please upload a pdf of your solutions by 23:59 on Monday, December 9. The assignment will be graded out of 8 points. More details on grading, as well as guidelines for mathematical writing, can be found on Moodle.

**Problem 1.** The goal of this problem is to show that almost everywhere convergence is a “non-topological” notion of convergence.

(a) Let  $X$  be a topological space. Recall that a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  converges to  $x \in X$  if and only if for every open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \in U$  for  $n \geq N$ . Show that the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x$
- (ii) every subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a further subsequence  $(x_{n_{k_l}})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  that converges to  $x$

(b) Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and suppose  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of integrable functions that converges in  $L^1(\mu)$  to an integrable function  $f$ . Show that every subsequence of  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a further subsequence that converges  $\mu$ -almost everywhere to  $f$ .

(c) Define the *typewriter sequence*  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= 1, \\ f_2 &= \mathbb{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{2})}, f_3 = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1)} \\ f_4 &= \mathbb{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{4})}, f_5 = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})}, f_6 = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})}, f_7 = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{3}{4}, 1)} \\ f_8 &= \mathbb{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{8})}, f_9 = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4})}, f_{10} = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{8})}, f_{11} = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{2})}, f_{12} = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{8})}, f_{13} = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{5}{8}, \frac{3}{4})}, f_{14} = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{8})}, f_{15} = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{7}{8}, 1)} \end{aligned}$$

The general term of the sequence is

$$f_n = \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{n-2^k}{2^k}, \frac{n-2^k+1}{2^k})}$$

for  $k = \lfloor \log_2(n) \rfloor$ . Let  $\lambda$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Show that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1(\lambda)$ , but  $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not converge for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

(d) Conclude that there is no topology on the space of Lebesgue-measurable functions  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that almost everywhere convergence with respect to Lebesgue measure agrees with convergence in the topology.

**Solution:** (a) Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $X$  and  $x \in X$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (ii). Suppose  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a subsequence of  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . We claim  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $x$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \in U$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Then since  $n_k \geq k$ , we have  $x_{n_k} \in U$  for  $k \geq N$ . Therefore,  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (i). We will prove the contrapositive. Suppose (i) fails. Then there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \notin U\}$  is infinite. Let  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  be an enumeration of  $S$ . Let  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$  be arbitrary. We claim that  $(x_{n_{k_l}})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not converge to  $x$ , so (ii) fails. Indeed, for every  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_{n_{k_l}} \notin U$ , since  $n_{k_l} \in S$  by construction.

(b) Let  $(f_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary subsequence of  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . A subsequence of a convergent sequence (in a metric space) is convergent, so  $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(\mu)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular,  $(f_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy. By Theorem 8.13 from the lecture notes, there is a subsequence  $(f_{n_{k_l}})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a function  $g \in L^1(\mu)$  such that  $f_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow g$  a.e. and in  $L^1(\mu)$ . But  $f_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(\mu)$ , so  $\|f - g\|_1 \leq \|f - f_{n_{k_l}}\|_1 + \|f_{n_{k_l}} - g\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . That is,  $f = g$  a.e. Thus,  $f_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow f$  a.e. as desired.

(c) First let us check that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1(\lambda)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k = \lfloor \log_2(n) \rfloor$ , we have

$$\|f_n\|_1 = \lambda \left( \left[ \frac{n - 2^k}{2^k}, \frac{n - 2^k + 1}{2^k} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{2}{2^{k+1}} \leq \frac{2}{n}.$$

Therefore,  $\|f_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1(\lambda)$ .

Let  $x \in [0, 1)$ . For each  $k \geq 0$ , we have a partition of  $[0, 1)$  into intervals of length  $2^{-k}$  by

$$[0, 1) = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{2^k-1} \underbrace{\left[ \frac{j}{2^k}, \frac{j+1}{2^k} \right)}_{I_{k,j}}.$$

Let  $j_k(x) \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 1\}$  so that  $x \in I_{k, j_k(x)}$  for each  $k \geq 0$ . By definition,  $f_{2^k+j} = \mathbb{1}_{I_{k,j}}$  for  $k \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq j \leq 2^k - 1$ , so  $f_{2^k+j_k(x)}(x) = 1$  and  $f_{2^k+j}(x) = 0$  for  $j \neq j_k(x)$ . Therefore,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 1$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0$ , so  $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not converge.

(d) Suppose for contradiction that there is a topology  $\tau$  on the space of measurable functions  $f : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  with respect to  $\tau$  if and only if  $f_n \rightarrow f$   $\lambda$ -a.e. Let  $f_n$  be the typewriter sequence from part (c). As shown in (c),  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1(\mu)$ . Therefore, by (b), every subsequence of  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a further subsequence that converges to 0 a.e. and hence with respect to  $\tau$  by assumption. But  $\tau$  is a topology, so by the implication (ii)  $\implies$  (i) in (a),  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  with respect to  $\tau$ . That is,  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  a.e. This contradicts the second part of (c), where we showed that  $\{x \in [0, 1) : f_n(x) \rightarrow 0\} = \emptyset$ . Thus, there is no such topology  $\tau$ .